1	2	3
11	Betahydroxy Ethyl Hydrazine	Terbutaline Sulphate
12	Hexamethyl dichlorosilane	Ampicillin
13	Ethyl Sulphonal Ethanol	Tinidazole
14	Ethyl Thioethanol	Tinidazole
15	Hydroxylamine Sulphate	Sulphamethoxazole
16	Diethyl Oxalate	Sulphamethoxazole
17	Propiophenol	Dextropropoxyphene Hcl.
18	Amino Uracil	Theophylline
19	Dimethyl Urea	Aminophylline/Theophylline
20	Dimethoxyphenyl Acetonitrile	Verapamil Hcl.
21	Ibrom-3 chlcrc Propane	Verapamil Hcl.
22	Dimethyl ethyl (1-methyl butyl) Malonate	Theopentone Sodium
23	Theophene 2-Aldehyde	Pyrental Pamcate
24	1,2, demethyl, 4,5,6 Tetrahydro Pyrimidine	Pyrental Pamoate
25	3-Amino, Propanol	Panthenols
26	C-nitrobenzyldehyde	Nif-edopine
27	M-Chloro-aneline-di-Sulphonamide	Hydrochlorthiazide
28	5-Amino-crotic Acid	Dipyridamole
29	2,6 Dichloro-4-8-dipiperidine Pyrimide (5, 4-alpha) Pyridrine	Dipyridamole
30	4-hydroxy acetophenol	Atenolol
31	1-(4-carbamoylmethyl)-phenoxy-2, 3 Epoxypropne	Atenolol
32	4-Hydroxy phenyl acetamide	Atenolol
33	Benzaldehyde	Chloramphenicol Powder
34	Bis-Methyl Silyl Urea	7-ADCA
35	Paracetic Acid	7-ADCA
36	Nitro, 2, Furfuraldehyde diacetate	Turbutaline Sulphate

Supreme Court judgement on drag prices

940. SHRJMATI RATAN KUMARI: Win the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state;

(a) the details of the recent Supreme Court judgement on the drug prices;

<b) the names of the drugs alongwith the prices which have been upheld by the Supreme Court;

(c) what is the price^being charged for each drug alongwith the name of the drug company;

(d) whether it is a fact that • the drug companies gave'undertaking to High Courts to pay the difference in case the judgement is passed in favour of Government;

(e) if so, what are the details of the undertaking;

(f) whether it is also a fact that Sup-reme Court not only ordered "to pay thedifference but also passed structures ag "ainst the looting by these companies; and

(S) if so, what are the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE. IN THE DEPARTMENT OF CHEMICALS; AND PETROCHEMICALS IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (SHRI R. K. JAI-CHANDRA SINGH): (a) The Supreme Court in its judgement delivered on 10th April, 1987 allowed the appeal filed by * Government and dismissed the writ petition of M|s. Cynamide (India) filed; n Delhi High Court. The Court upheld the procedures and norms adopted by Government in fixing the prices and directed to give hearing to M|s. Cynamide within 2 months and dipose of the petitions within 2 weeks after hearing: The undertaking given by the company to Delhi High Court continues to subsist,

(b) and (c) Information to "the extent available in respect of M|s. Cynamide (India) is shown in the attached statement, *(See* appendix CXLII. Annexure No. 57).

(d) and (e) Yes, Sir. The company gave an undertaking to Delhi High Court to pay the difference, in. case the judgement. is passed in favour of Government.

(f) and (g) Referring to the price charged by the manufacturer of paralgan Ketone, 'the Supreme Court observed: IT appears to justify the 'charge that some manufacturers do indulge in profiteering, by itself, is evil. profiteering in t'ne scarcee resources of the community, much needed life-sustaining 'foodstufis and life-saving drugs is diabolic. It is menace which had to be fettered and curbed".

Improvement on the standard of Maruti cars

941.SHRIK.G. ESHWARAPPA;Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state;

(a) whether it is a fact that Maruti cars sold in India cannot be marketed in QECD countries;

(b) whether it is a 'fact that in the manufacture of the windshield fitted in theMaruti cars very old technology has beenused and not laminated "glass as do allvehicles in Europe and U. S. A.;

(c) whether it is aiso a fact that accident,rates of Maruti cars are very highpartlybecause its engine is unnecessarilypower-ful;

(d) what steps Maruti Udyog propose to take so as to make their products safer and comparable with inter-natioanl standards; and

(e) what steps are being taken to evolve safety designs and safety standards of vehicles particularly suited to Indian con-^ ditions so as to ensure safer road "travel?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC ENTERPRISES IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (PROF. K. K. TEWARY): (a) For sale in OECD countries, Maruti cars would require some modifications e. g-, left hand drive, deffrosting and heating arrangements as per specifications prescribed in each country.

(b) Zone- toughtening technology used in Japan til] March 1987 has been adopted for Maruti cars windshield.

(c) No, 'Sir.

(d) The vehicle manufactured by Maru- Udyog Ltd. conform to all the standards prescribed in India.

(e) The Pollution and standards Com- mittee set up by the Ministry of Industry has finalised standards for /37 items which ' directly or indirectly contribute to the safety and pollution of vehicles either during manufacture or during its operation and had been given "wide publicity. The attention of the vehicle manufacturer have been drawn to the safety and pollution standards with the request that they may initiate suitable action to study standards relevant to them and take action to introduce the same on the vehicles even before they become mandatory.